UNDERSTANDING CR PROPAGATION Scineghe, Trieste, 9th of September



Carmelo Evoli (SISSA, Trieste)

IN COLLABORATION WITH...

** The DRAGON (CR propagation code) Team: Luca Maccione (DESY, Hamburg) Dario Grasso (INFN, Pisa) Daniele Gaggero (INFN, Pisa) Giuseppe Di Bernardo (University of Pisa)

** Other collaborators: Riccardo Catena (MPI, Heidelberg) Maryam Tavakoli (SISSA, Trieste) Piero Ullio (SISSA, Trieste)

CR DIFFUSION IN THE MW See the first slide of the previous talk!



Galactic SNR as sources...



... and Galactic MF



(Hillas 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0607109)

CR DIFFUSION IN THE MW

CRs obey essentially a diffusion equation: (Ginzburg & Syrovatsky, 1964)



 $D = D_0 E^{\delta} \exp(z/z_t)$

SOLVING THE DIFFUSION EQUATION

leaky-box models

Back of the envelope approach with many useful predictions.

* semi-analytic models

Assume simplified distributions for sources and gas, and try to solve the diffusion equation analytically (see Maurin, Salati, Donato et al.)

* numerical models (GALPROP)

use more realistic distribution

(see Igor Moskalenko's talk)

* a new numerical model: DRAGON (Diffusion of cosmic RAys in the Galaxy modelizatiON). See Evoli et al. 2008.

UNDERSTANDING CR PROPAGATION

- Understanding propagation it is extremely important to infer the properties of the galactic environment...
- …and to determine/constrain the properties of any other extra component.
- But, the diffusion equation depends on many free parameters...
- ...we can determine them by comparing model predictions with observational data.

OUR STRATEGY

Boron only secondary!

- We want to focus on the most important propagation parameters: D_0 (o z_t), δ .
- Standard wisdom: high energy spectra are just the result of diffusion and possibly spallation.
- At low energy other processes (reacceleration, convection, energy losses, change of diffusion regime at low energy) are relevant and may mask the effects of diffusion.
- # High energy data now available (CREAM, PAMELA).



Ref. <u>http://galprop.stanford.edu/</u>

See also e.g. Maurin et al, 1001.0553 & 1001.0551, Ptuskin et al, ApJ 642, 2006

SECONDARY/PRIMARY



Dependence of secondary/primary ratios on the **reacceleration** level in the "best fit" case. **Modulation potential** fixed by requiring to reproduce the proton spectrum



ANTIPROTON/PROTONS



ESS 98

CAPRICE 98

MS-01

PAMELA 09

Kinetic Energy [GeV/nucleon]

10'

10²

10°

10

10-1

Large effects of reacceleration on the proton spectrum: can it constrain vA? Interesting feature: the antiproton flux is less affected by reacceleration.



RESULTS

		B/C analysis			joint analysis		
v_A [km/s]	E_{\min} [GeV/n]	δ	D_0/z_t	χ^2	δ	D_0/z_t	χ^2
0	1	0.57	0.60	0.38	0.47	0.74	3.25
	5	0.52	0.65	0.33	0.41	0.85	2.04
	10	0.46	0.76	0.19	0.44	0.82	1.57
10	1	0.52	0.68	0.32	0.49	0.71	1.47
	5	0.49	0.71	0.28	0.41	0.85	1.69
	10	0.44	0.82	0.20	0.44	0.82	0.12
15	1	0.46	0.76	0.33	0.47	0.76	0.94
	5	0.49	0.73	0.26	0.44	0.82	0.12
	10	0.44	0.84	0.18	0.41	0.98	0.16
20	1	0.41	0.90	0.47	0.47	0.79	2.28
	5	0.44	0.84	0.22	0.44	0.84	0.85
	10	0.44	0.87	0.20	0.44	0.85	0.98
30	1	0.33	1.20	0.40	0.33	1.20	5.84
	5	0.38	1.06	0.20	0.36	1.09	2.47
	10	0.41	0.98	0.16	0.38	1.04	1.61

What we learn from this analysis is:

@95%	C.L.
0.2 < δ	< 0.7
$v_A < 30$	km/s

@best-fit: δ = 0.45 v_A = 15 km/s

Kraichnan turbulence in the ISM?

Di Bernardo et al., 2010, Astroparticle Physics



Antiproton minimum and maximum flux:



Di Bernardo et al., 2010, Astroparticle Physics

FIXING LOW ENERGY



Low energy physics can be parametrized as

 $D \propto \beta^{\eta} \left(\rho / \rho_0 \right)^{\delta}$

η < 0 might correspond to scenarios of magnetic wave dissipation (Ptuskin et al, ApJ, 642, 2006)

The diffusion parameters fitted at high energy with the energy dependent analysis are robust against low energy physics effects.

See also *Maurin et al., 1001.0553* where several sources of uncertainties are discussed.

LIKELIHOOD ANALYSIS

MCMC to improve efficiency and number of parameters:



The analysis has been performed using the available data in the entire energy range $0.1 < E < 10^3$ GeV/n.

Parameters in the fit: δ , D_0 , z_t , q_C , q_N , v_A , η

Catena et al., in preparation

THE LEPTONIC SECTOR

The situation before 2008:

Above few GeV the e+p spectrum was fitted (large uncertainty) by a power-law:

 $\sim E^{-3.2}$

GALPROP model:

 $\delta = 0.33 , \gamma_0 = 2.54$ (va = 30 km/s, no convection)

but! The "conventional model" is in disagreement with the PAMELA rise of the positron fraction.



THE FERMI-LAT + HESS CRE SPECTRUM

The e+p spectrum based on 12 months data, down to 7 GeV:



steepening above 500 GeV

Power-law with slope 3.06

hardening at around 100 GeV

A CONSERVATIVE INTERPRETATION





Kolmogorov $\gamma_e = 1.6/2.5 \ below/above 4 GeV$ Kraichnan $\gamma_e = 2.0/2.43$ below/above 2 GeV

Under the hypothesis:1. electrons comes from SNR2. positrons are secondary only

do not match PAMELA data! do not match HESS data!

TWO GALACTIC COMPONENTS?

 $\gamma_0 = 2.0/2.65$, $\delta = 0.46$



Toy model:

 $N_{extra} \propto E^{-1.5} \exp(-E/1TeV)$

galactic component that follows the pulsar distribution



Point-sources model:

$$\gamma_e = 1.4$$
, $E_{cut} = 2TeV$,
 $t_0 = 75kyr$, $\eta_p = 0.35$

contribution from nearby pulsars (<2kpc) taken from the ATFN catalogue

WHAT ABOUT DIFFUSE EMISSION



Standard model with $z_t \sim 2-4$ kpc



Data from Abdo et al. 2010

Thick halo model with $z_t \sim 8-10$ kpc

Tavakoli et al., in preparation

CONCLUSIONS

We use nuclei and anti-proton recent measurements to constrain the diffusion parameter range.

We present a "two-component" (SNR + PSR) interpretation of the electron/positron spectrum.

Our "multi-messenger" model is still not able to reproduce the diffuse γ emission. Work in progress!