

Josep M. Paredes

1

# Gamma-ray binaries: microquasars and binary pulsar systems

## SciNeGHE 2010

8th Workshop on Science with the New Generation of High Energy Gamma-ray Experiments

Trieste, September 8th - 10th 2010

### The VHE gamma-ray Sky Map

38 extragalactic 60 galactic



Parameters	PSR B1259-63	LS I +61 303	LS 5039	Cygnus X-1	Cygnus X-3				
System Type	B2Ve+NS	B0Ve+NS?	O6.5V+BH?	O9.7I+ BH	WR+ BH?				
Large luminosity and strong stellar wind might be a BH if i<25° (Casares et al. 2005)									
Activity Radio	Periodic (48 ms and 3.4 yr)	Periodic (26.5 d and 4 yr)	Persistent	Persistent	Persistent and Bursts				
Radio Structure (AU)	Jet-like ~140	Jet-like ~10-700	Jet 10 -10 <sup>3</sup>	Jet 40 + ring	Jet				
Radio emitters and jet (like) radio structure									
Гунст	27±02	26±02	2 06 ± 0 05	32±06					

## GeV/TeV emitting XRBs: Accretion vs non-accretion



Cygnus X-3 Cygnus X-1

### **PSR B1259-63**

LS 5039 ? LS I +61 303 ?

## MQs as high-energy γ -ray sources Theoretical point of view



#### Leptonic models:

**SSC** Atoyan & Aharonian 1999, MNRAS 302, 253 Latham et al. 2005, AIP CP745, 323

EC Kaufman Bernadó et al. 2002, A&A 385, L10 Georganopoulos et al. 2002, A&A 388, L25

SSC+EC Bosch-Ramon et al. 2004 A&A 417, 1075

Synchrotron jet emission Markoff et al. 2003, A&A 397, 645

### Hadronic models:

Pion decay Romero et al. 2003, A&A 410, L1 Bosch-Ramon et al. 2005, A&A 432, 609

## Stellar Mass Black Hole

5 pc (8') diameter ring-structure of bremsstrahlung emitting

## • HMXB, O9.71+BH

Cygnus X-1

#### **WSRT**



Albert et al. 2007, ApJ 665, L51

Steady flux below ~1% Crab Nebula flux

Strong evidence (4.1 $\sigma$  post trial significance) of intense short-lived flaring [1h-24h] episode discovered by MAGIC on 24-09-2006

Soft spectrum ( $\Gamma$  = -3.2) between ~100 GeV and 1 TeV, with no break

Extension below MAGIC angular resolution (~ 0.1°)

Radio-nebula produced by the jet interaction with the ISM excluded

Detected up to 1 TeV. Orbital phase 0.9-1.0, when the BH is behind the star and photon-photon absorption should be huge:  $\tau \sim 10$  at 1 TeV (Bednarek & Giovannelli 2007). Away from the BH might be the solution: flare in the jet? (Perucho & Bosch-Ramon 2008)

TeV excess right before the onset of a hard X-ray peak seen by *Swift* 

Observations one day later reveal that no TeV excess was found during the maximum and decay phase of another hard X-ray peak

More simultaneous multi wavelength data is necessary to build models



TeV flare seen by MAGIC interpreted as a jet-cloud interaction. Protons in the jet interact with ions in a cloud of a clumpy wind from the companion, producing inelastic p-p collisions and pion decay which produces a flare in TeV gamma rays (Romero et al. 2010, A&A 518, 12)



Detected >100 MeV by *AGILE* (Sabatini et al. 2010, ApJ 712, 10 and ATel #2715) but not by *Fermi/LAT* (Abdo et al. 2010, ATels and *Fermi/LAT* blog). The detection spans 1 d in about 2 years of observations, and has a 5.3σ pre-trial significance, which is 4σ post-trial. It occurred during a low luminosity low/hard state



Black circle: optical position Green contour: AGILE 2sig confidence level

## **Cygnus X-3** Strong radio outbursts





#### Strong radio flares occur only when the source is in the soft state

If the non-thermal electrons responsible for either

the hard X-ray tails or

the radio emission during major flares

were accelerated to high enough energies then detectable emission in the  $\gamma$ -ray range, e.g., the GeV or TeV band, would be possible.

Given that major radio flares indicates the presence of hard X-ray tails, **GeV and TeV**<sub>1</sub> emission should be searched for during those radio flares.





RXTE/ASM (1.5-12 keV) Swift/BAT (15-50 keV) RXTE/ASM Count rate (counts s<sup>-1</sup>) L 30 900 Time (MJD -54000) 700 800 1000 В  $5.0 \times 10^{-6}$ LAT Flux (ph cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) 4.0×10 3.0×10 ASM Count Rate (cts s<sup>-1</sup>) 15 10 2.0 13

0.0

0.5

1.0

Orbital Phase

1.5

Abdo et al. 2009, Science 326, 1512

### Jet IC emission >100 MeV γ-ray modulation in Cyg X-3

Anisotropic IC by jet relat. e<sup>-</sup> with stellar photons along the orbit produces a modulation in the gamma-ray lightcurve (Khangulyan et al. 2008, MNRAS 383, 467)



- The e<sup>-</sup> emit synchrotron radio beyond the  $\gamma$ -ray emission zone on much larger scales
- A shock occurs in the wind because (Perucho et al. 2010, A&A)
  - wind mass-loss rate is very large
  - orbit very tight

Most  $\mu$ qs jets will interact much further away when their pressure matches that of the ISM. Any HE particles will find a much weaker radiation environment and will be less likely to produce a (modulated) IC  $\gamma$ -ray

Anisotropic IC e<sup>±</sup> pair cascade model. The optical depths for  $\gamma$ -rays created inside the binary system are huge. Escape of  $\gamma$ -rays with energies above a few tens of GeV is not very likely. Bednarek, 2010, MNRAS<sup>14</sup>

# Young pulsar wind interacting with the companion star

#### **PSR B1259-63** The first variable galactic source of VHE

**PSR B1259-63 / LS 2883:** O8.5-9 Ve (Negueruela et al. 2010) (dense equatorial circumstellar disk) + 47.7 ms radio pulsar, P= 3.4 yr, e=0.87.

No radio pulses are observed when the NS is behind the circumstellar disk (free-free absorption).

Tavani & Arons 1997, ApJ 477, 439 studied the radiation mechanisms and interaction geometry in a pulsar/Be star system

The observed X-ray/soft gamma-ray emission was

consistent with the shock-powered high-energy emission produced by the pulsar/outflow interaction



VHE gamma-rays are detected when the NS is close to periastron or crosses the disk (Aharonian et al. 2005, A&A 442, 1)

- significant variability

- power-law spectrum ( $\Gamma$ =2.7) explained by IC scattering processes

- the TeV, and radio/X-ray light curves, can be explained if the interaction with the circumstellar disk is considered (Chernyakova et al. 2006, MNRAS 367, 1201) 15





Chernyakova et al. 2009, MNRAS 397, 2123

# Need of simultaneous TeV-X ray observations



Aharonian et al. 2009, A&A 507, 389

The firm detection of VHE photons emitted at a true anomaly  $\theta \approx -0.35$  of the pulsar orbit, i.e. already ~50 days prior to the periastron passage, disfavors the stellar disk target scenario as a primary emission mechanism, based on current knowledge about the companion star's disk inclination, extension, and density profile

08/07: 0=-0.17 07/07: 0=-0.25 05/07: 0=-0.35 05/07: 0=-0.35

AGILE detected transient gamma-ray emission from the PSR B1259-63 region (Tavani et al. 2010, Atel #2772)

Not detected by *Fermi* (Abdo et al. 2010, Atel #2780)

New

Australian Long Baseline Array (LBA) 2.3 GHz



Total extension of the nebula: ~ 60 mas, or 140 ± 24 AU

The red crosses marks the region where the pulsar should be contained in each run

Moldón et al. 2010 (submitted)

## Periastron passage soon!!



Jet-like features have been reported several times, but show a puzzling behavior (Massi et al. 2001, 2004). VLBI observations show a rotating jet-like structure (Dhawan et al. 2006, VI Microquasars Workshop, Como, Setember 2006)

Astrometric Positions vs. Time



3.6cm images, ~3d apart, beam 1.5x1.1mas or 3x2.2 AU. Semi-major axis: 0.5 AU

VLBA



**Pulsar scenario**: Interaction of the relativistic wind from a young pulsar with the wind from its stellar companion. A comet-shape tail of radio emitting particles is formed rotating with the orbital period. We see this nebula projected (Dubus 2006, A&A 456, 801). UV photons from the companion star suffer IC scattering by the same population of non-thermal particles, leading to emission in the GeV-TeV energy range

Fermi



10-

Not resolved yet the issue of the momentum flux of the pulsar wind being significantly higher than that of the Be wind, which presents a problem for interpretation of the observed radio structures (as pointed out by Romero et al 2007, A&A 474, 15)

#### LS 5039





#### (Khangulyan et al. 2008; Sierpowska-Bartosik&Torres 2008b)

- The emission is enhanced (reduced) when the highly relativistic electrons seen by the observer encounter the seed photons head-on (rear-on), i.e., at superior (inferior) conjunction

- VHE absorption due to pair production will be maximum (minimum) at superior (inferior) conjunction

The  $\gamma$ -ray data require a location of the production region at the periphery of the binary system at ~10<sup>12</sup> cm (Khangulyan et al. 2008, MNRAS 383, 467; Bosch-Ramon et al. 2008, A&A 489, L21)



## Summary

Instrument	PSR B1259-63	LS I +61 303	LS 5039	Cygnus X-1	Cygnus X-3
<i>EGRET</i> ≻100 MeV		3EG J0241+6103	3EG J1824-1514		
AGILE 30 MeV-50 GeV	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
FERMI 30 MeV-300 GeV	_	yes odit	yes odib		yes odib Periodib
HESS >100 GeV	yes	not visible	yes odit		_
MAGIC >60 GeV	not visible	yes <sub>di</sub> c		yes	_
VERITAS >100 GeV	not visible	yes			

All of them are HMXBs

- > All of them are radio emitters + jet (jet-like) structure
- > All of them have a bright companion (O or B star)  $\rightarrow$  source of seed photons for the IC emission and target nuclei for hadronic interactions
- NS and BH are among these detected XRBs
  VLBI monitoring of the jets along the orbit is crucial to understand some of these systems
- Multi-wavelength (multi-particle) campaigns are of primary importance 25  $\succ$